

**RECEIVED  
CENTRAL FAX CENTER**

**APR 22 2008**

**Proposed Agenda**

We would like to discuss the proposed changes to the claims and any possible interpretation and nuances of the proposed claim limitations.

The claims as amended overcome the Office Action rejections because:

1. The claims do not rely on the administrator personal knowledge of members being trusted individuals as every trusted individual is in a list stored in a computer readable medium.
2. The claims do not rely on an administrator to periodically, manually enter commands into the computer to output the group names and their privilege levels, as the program does all this automatically and it is scheduled for periodic execution to avoid manual execution.
3. The term "erroneously" has been added to the claims to narrow the claims to the specific instance where the computer program looks for members who erroneously belong to a group.
4. The term "level" has been replaced by the term "access" to narrow the claims. Access refers to a privilege to use computer information in some manner. For example, a user might be granted read access to a file, meaning that the user can read the file but cannot modify or delete it. Most operating systems have several different types of access privileges that can be granted or denied to specific users or groups of users. Level has the broader meaning of relating to the division of persons or things by quality, rank, or grade.
5. The new claims do a better job in reflecting the concept of two lists. One that contains "a list of names for user access privilege groups" (Claim 1) and another one that contains "a list of names for higher access privilege groups (Claim 3).

**THIS PAGE BLANK (USPTO)**